UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,690	04/15/2005	Piotr Kula	122083	1493
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 04/25/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ZHU, WEIPING	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320-4830		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summer	10/531,690	KULA ET AL.			
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	WEIPING ZHU	1793			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>WEIPING ZHU</u> .	(3) <u>Jerry Tillman</u> .				
(2) <u>Piotr Kula</u> .	(4) Christopher W. Brown.				
Date of Interview: <u>15 April 2008</u> .					
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	e]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,2,4 and 5</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>JP 2000-001765 and Meyer et al. (US 5,580,397)</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☒ N	J/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant argues that the claimed content ratio of acetylene to ethylene in the range of 0.55 to 2.0 is critical and can generate unexpected results. The examiner invites the applicant to provide convincible data to establish the criticality of the claimed ratio range. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
	/Weiping Zhu/ Examiner, Art Unit 1793 Examiner's signature, if requi	red			

Application No.

Applicant(s)